

WARNER PORTFOLIO EVALUATION FORM

Candidate's name: _____ **Evaluator's name:** _____

Semester: _____

Portfolio Rubrics

1. Content Principle – Rubrics related to relevant NSTA standards for science teacher candidates

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following NSTA standards. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table below:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence that the candidate has the necessary content knowledge in this area.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate has at least the minimum content knowledge in this area.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate has a solid background in this area.

<i>NSTA standard:</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>
1.a Candidates understand and can successfully convey to students the major concepts, principle, theories, laws and interrelationships of their fields of licensure and supporting fields as recommended by NSTA.			
1.b Candidates understand and can successfully convey to students the unifying concepts of science delineated by the National Science Education Standards.			
1.c Candidates understand and can successfully convey to students important personal and technological applications of science in their fields of licensure.			
1.d Candidates understand research and can successfully design, conduct, report and evaluate investigations in science.			
1.e Candidates understand research and can successfully use mathematics to process and report data, and solve problems, in their fields of licensure.			
2.a Candidates understand the historical and cultural development of science and the evolution of knowledge in their discipline.			
2.b Candidates understand the philosophical tenets, assumptions, goals and values that distinguish science from technology and from other ways of knowing in the world.			
2.c Candidates engage students successfully in studies of the nature of science including, when possible, the critical analysis of false or doubtful assertions made in the name of science.			
3.a Candidates understand the processes, tenets, and assumptions of multiple methods of inquiry leading to scientific knowledge.			
3.b Candidates engage students successfully in developmentally appropriate inquiries that require them to develop concepts and relationships from their observations, data and inferences in a scientific manner.			

<i>NSTA standard (continues)</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>
4.a Candidates understand socially important issues related to science and technology in their field of licensure, as well as processes used to analyze and make decisions on such issues.			
4.b Candidates engage students successfully in the analysis of problems, including the consideration of risks, costs and benefits of alternative solutions; relating these to the knowledge, goals and values of the students.			
5.b Candidates successfully promote the learning of science by students with different abilities, needs, interests and backgrounds.			
5.e Candidates understand and build successfully upon the prior beliefs, knowledge, experiences, and interests of students.			

Additional comments:

1. Content Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

Prof.	Insufficient (1)	Basic proficiency (3)	Outstanding (4)	Score
1.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identifies some significant gaps in content preparation, as defined by New York State and/or relevant professional organizations.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate sufficient preparation in the subject matter to meet the minimum requirements set by New York State and relevant professional organizations.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a broad preparation in the subject matter that meets and exceeds the minimum requirements set by New York State and relevant professional organizations.	
1.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide evidence of in-depth understanding of any of the key concepts, tools of inquiry or structures of the discipline identified by relevant professional organizations, as no examples are provided or the examples chosen are inappropriate and/or reveal serious misunderstandings	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identifies at least one example that demonstrates in-depth understanding of a key concept, tool of inquiry or structure of the discipline identified by relevant professional organizations; all the examples chosen are appropriate and do not reveal serious misunderstandings; the candidate articulates at least one doable strategy to deepen his/her understanding of the subject matter taught.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identifies a few examples that demonstrate a good understanding of key concepts, tools of inquiry and structures of the discipline identified by relevant professional organizations; all the examples chosen are appropriate and do not reveal serious misunderstandings; the candidate articulates multiple strategies to deepen his/her understanding of the subject matter taught.	
1.3	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is unaware of relevant set(s) of standards, and/or reveal serious misunderstandings of specific standards and their instructional implications.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is aware of all relevant sets of standards to be considered in his/her teaching and understands their meaning and basic implications for instruction.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is aware of all relevant sets of standards to be considered in his/her teaching and understands their meaning; there is also evidence that these standards inform the candidates' planning and instruction.	
1.4	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to create learning experiences that make the subject matter meaningful and relevant for all students, as no examples are provided or the examples chosen are inappropriate and/or reveal serious misunderstandings.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has created learning experiences that were meaningful and relevant to all students, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to create learning experiences that are meaningful and relevant to all students, and does so on a regular basis.	

2. Learning Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

Prof.	<i>Insufficient (1)</i>	<i>Basic proficiency (3)</i>	<i>Outstanding (4)</i>	<i>Score</i>
2.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of how all children develop.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of how all children develop and the role played by context in development.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an in-depth understanding of how all children develop and the role played by context in development, and awareness of relevant research.	
2.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of how all children construct knowledge through participation in culturally valued activities and/or what is appropriate for the ages and backgrounds of the children s/he teaches.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of how all children construct knowledge through participation in culturally valued activities and what is appropriate for the ages and backgrounds of the children s/he teaches.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate in-depth understanding of how all children construct knowledge through participation in culturally valued activities and what is appropriate for the ages and backgrounds of the children s/he teaches, as well as awareness of relevant research.	
2.3	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to provide learning experiences that take into consideration the students' developmental level, prior experiences and contexts, as no examples are provided or the examples chosen are inappropriate and/or reveal serious misunderstandings.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has provided learning experiences taking into consideration the students' developmental level, prior experiences and contexts, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to provide learning experiences that take into consideration the students' developmental level, prior experiences and contexts, and does so on a regular basis.	

3. Equity Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

<i>Prof.</i>	<i>Insufficient (1)</i>	<i>Basic proficiency (3)</i>	<i>Outstanding (4)</i>	<i>Score</i>
3.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of equity and social justice principles.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of the principle that everyone has the right to have an opportunity to learn, and of what constitutes equitable and socially just behavior and treatment for themselves and others.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an in-depth understanding of equity and social justice principles, including everyone's right to have an opportunity to learn and what constitutes equitable and socially just behavior and treatment for themselves and others, as well as awareness of relevant research.	
3.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not demonstrate a commitment to high moral and ethical standards and/or a basic respect for student diversity.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic commitment to high moral and ethical standards and respect for student diversity.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a strong commitment to high moral and ethical standards, with an explicit emphasis on equity and social justice; the candidate respects student differences and perceives them as resources rather than obstacles for instruction.	
3.3	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate some major gaps and/or misconceptions in their knowledge of cultural, linguistic and learning differences and/or disabilities and their implications for the classroom.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate that the candidate is familiar with at least a few of the cultural, linguistic and learning differences and /or disabilities students may present, and their implications for the classroom.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate that the candidate is aware of several cultural, linguistic and learning differences and/or disabilities students may present, and their implications for the classroom, as well as awareness of relevant research.	
3.4	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to create learning experiences that are culturally relevant and address the strengths and needs of all students, as no examples are provided or the examples chosen are inappropriate and/or reveal serious misunderstandings.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has created learning experiences that were culturally relevant and addressed the strengths and needs of all students, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that candidate is able to create learning experiences that are culturally relevant and address the strengths and needs of all students, and does so on a regular basis.	

4. Pedagogy Principle – Rubrics related to relevant NSTA standards for science teacher candidates

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following NSTA standards. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence of the behaviors and practices described in this standard.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrated the behaviors and practices described in this standard at least once.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrates the behaviors and practices described in this standard consistently and with a high level of proficiency.

<i>NSTA standards:</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>
5.a Candidates vary their teaching actions, strategies, and methods to promote the development of multiple student skills and levels of understanding.			
5.c Candidates successfully organize and engage students in collaborative learning using different student group learning strategies.			
5.d Candidates successfully use technological tools, including but not limited to computer technology, to access resources, collect and process data, and facilitate the learning of science.			
6.a Candidates understand the curricular recommendations of the National Science Education Standards, and can identify, access, and/or create resources and activities for science education that are consistent with the standards.			

Additional comments:

4. Pedagogy Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

Prof.	Insufficient (1)	Basic proficiency (3)	Outstanding (4)	Score
4.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is aware of all relevant set(s) of pedagogy-related standards and/or knows enough innovative instructional strategies.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is aware of relevant pedagogy-related standards, can identify a few instructional strategies consistent with those standards, and understands the potential uses, values, and limitations of these strategies for achieving specific learning goals.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is aware of relevant pedagogy-related standards, knows several instructional strategies consistent with those standards, understands the potential uses, values and limitations of each of these strategies to achieve specific learning goals, and is aware of relevant research.	
4.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use a variety of innovative instructional strategies, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of a few occasions when the candidate has effectively used different innovative strategies to achieve learning goals consistent with relevant standards, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to effectively use a variety of innovative strategies to achieve learning goals consistent with relevant standards, and does so on a regular basis.	
4.3	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is aware of the potential values and limitations of using technology in instruction.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate that the candidate understands at least a few of the potential values and limitations of using technology in instruction.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate that the candidate understands many of the potential values and limitations of using technology in instruction, recognizes equity issues connected with the uses of technology, and is aware of relevant research.	
4.4	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use technology to support student learning, as no example is provided or the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has used technology effectively to support student learning, raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to use technology effectively to support student learning, and does so on a regular basis.	

5. Learning Community Principle - Rubrics related to relevant NSTA standards for science teacher candidates

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following NSTA standards. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence of the behaviors and practices described in this standard.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrated the behaviors and practices described in this standard at least once.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrates the behaviors and practices described in this standard consistently and with a high level of proficiency.

<i>NSTA standards:</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>
5.f Candidates create and maintain a psychologically and socially safe and supportive learning environment.			
9.a Candidates understand the legal and ethical responsibilities of science teachers for the welfare of their students, the proper treatment of animals, and the maintenance and disposal of materials.			
9.b Candidates know and practice safe and proper techniques for the preparation, storage, dispensing, supervision, and disposal of all materials used in science instruction.			
9.c Candidates know and follow emergency procedures, maintain safety equipment, and ensure safety procedures appropriate for the activities and abilities of students.			
9.d Candidates treat all living organisms used in the classroom or found in the field in a safe, humane, and ethical manner and respect legal restrictions on their collection, keeping and use.			

Additional comments:

5. Learning Community Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

Prof.	Insufficient (1)	Basic proficiency (3)	Outstanding (4)	Score
5.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of student motivation.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of what may encourage or hinder students' motivation and engagement in learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an in-depth understanding of what may encourage or hinder students' motivation and engagement in learning, and awareness of relevant research literature.	
5.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation raise concerns about the candidate's capability of constructing a comfortable and safe classroom environment.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to construct a comfortable and safe classroom environment, at least most of the time and for most of the students in his/her class.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to construct a comfortable and safe classroom environment for all students in his/her class.	
5.3	Candidate's narrative and related documentation raise concerns about the candidate's capability of creating a classroom environment that fosters students' motivation and learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to create a classroom environment that fosters students' motivation and learning, at least most of the times and for most of the students in his/her class.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to create a classroom environment that fosters students' motivation and learning for all students and supports the creation of a community of learners.	

6. Communication Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

Prof.	Insufficient (1)	Basic proficiency (3)	Outstanding (4)	Score
6.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of the role of language in teaching and learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of the role of language in teaching and learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an in-depth understanding of the role of language in teaching and learning, and awareness of the relevant research.	
6.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is aware of and proficient in a wide enough variety of modes and vehicles of communication.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is familiar with and proficient in at least a few modes and vehicles of communication to support learning and inquiry, and can recognize the potential uses, values and limitations of each to achieve specific learning goals.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is familiar with and proficient in several modes and vehicles of communication to support learning and inquiry, can recognize the potential uses, values and limitations of each to achieve specific learning goals, and is aware of relevant research.	
6.3	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use effectively a variety of modes of communication in the classroom, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate has used effectively a variety of modes of communication to make ideas accessible to all students and foster inquiry in at least one occasion, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is capable of using effectively a variety of modes of communication to make ideas accessible to all students and foster inquiry, and does so on a regular basis.	
6.4	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to construct activities that incorporate a variety of texts, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has constructed activities that incorporated a variety of texts and facilitated all students' critical analysis of such texts, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to construct activities that incorporate a variety of texts and facilitate all students' critical analysis of such texts, and does so on a regular basis.	

7. Planning Principle – Rubrics related to relevant NSTA standards for science teacher candidates

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following NSTA standards. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence of the behaviors and practices described in this standard.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrated the behaviors and practices described in this standard at least once.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrates the behaviors and practices described in this standard consistently and with a high level of proficiency.

<i>NSTA standards:</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>
6.a Candidates understand the curricular recommendations of the National Science Education Standards, and can identify, access, and/or create resources and activities for science education that are consistent with the standards.			
7.a Candidates identify ways to relate science to the community, involve stakeholders, and use community resource to promote the learning of science.			
7.b Candidates involve students successfully in activities that relate science to resources and stakeholders in the community or to the resolution of issues important to the community.			

Additional comments:

7. Planning Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

Prof.	Insufficient (1)	Basic proficiency (3)	Outstanding (4)	Score
7.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation raise concerns about the candidate's capability of aligning instruction with learning goals consistent with professional and NYS standards.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate was able to explicitly align instruction with learning goals consistent with professional and NYS standards, and raises no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to align instruction with learning goals consistent with professional and NYS standards, and does so on a regular basis.	
7.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify major shortcomings with respect to the candidate's capability of implementing lessons according to a well-defined and high quality plan.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate was able to implement lessons according to a well-defined and high quality plan, and raises no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to implement lessons according to a well-defined and high quality plan, is able to make modifications in this plan as appropriate to respond to unexpected students' responses, and does both on a regular basis.	

8. Assessment Principle - Rubrics related to relevant NSTA standards for science teacher candidates

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following NSTA standards. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence of the behaviors and practices described in this standard.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrated the behaviors and practices described in this standard at least once.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrates the behaviors and practices described in this standard consistently and with a high level of proficiency.

<i>NSTA standards:</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>
8.a Candidates use multiple assessment tools and strategies to achieve important goals for instruction that are aligned with methods of instruction and the needs of students.			
8.b Candidates use the results of multiple assessments to guide and modify instruction, the classroom environment, or the assessment process.			
8.c Candidates use the results of assessment as vehicles for students to analyze their own learning, engaging students in reflective self-analysis of their own work.			

Additional comments:

8. Assessment Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

Prof.	Insufficient (1)	Basic proficiency (3)	Outstanding (4)	Score
8.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate understands the multiple purposes of assessment and/or knows a sufficient number of assessment strategies and tools.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate understands the multiple purposes of assessment, is familiar with a few different assessment strategies and tools, and is aware of the potential uses, values and limitations of each to achieve specific assessment goals.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate understands the multiple purposes of assessment, is familiar with several assessment strategies and tools, is aware of the potential uses, values and limitations of each to achieve specific assessment goals, and is aware of relevant research literature on assessment.	
8.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use a variety of assessment strategies and tools and/or to use assessment to monitor and support student learning, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate has effectively used a variety of assessment strategies and tools to monitor and support student learning in at least a few occasions, and raise no major concerns about the candidate's capability to do it in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to effectively use a variety of assessment strategies and tools, including some that are embedded in authentic learning activities and have real audiences and purposes, to monitor and support student learning and does so on a regular basis.	
8.3	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use assessment to inform instruction, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate has been able to use assessment to inform instruction, at least in a few occasions, and raise no major concerns about the candidate's capability to do it in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to use assessment to inform instruction, and does so on a regular basis.	
8.4	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to have a positive effect on his/her students' learning, as the examples provided are inappropriate or too limited.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide at least three well-documented and commented-on examples that the candidate was able to have a positive effect on their students' learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide several well-documented and commented-on examples that the candidate was able to have a very positive effect on their students' learning, as well as testimonials to that effect.	

9. Professional Practice Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

Prof.	Insufficient (1)	Basic proficiency (3)	Outstanding (4)	Score
9.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raise serious concerns about, the candidate's appreciation of the need for life-long learning and/or continuous improvement of his/her practice.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an appreciation of the need for life-long learning and continuous improvement of his/her practice and a stated commitment to it.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an appreciation of the need for life-long learning and continuous improvement of his/her practice, and provide evidence that the candidate has already started to put his/her commitment to continuous learning and improvement into practice.	
9.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raise serious concerns about, the candidate's ability to constructively use criticisms, reflect on his/her practice, and/or use research and theory, in order to make necessary adjustments to enhance student learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate was able constructively use criticism, reflect on his/her practice, and draw from research and theory, respectively, in order to make some adjustment to enhance student learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to constructively use criticisms, reflect on his/her practice, and draw from research and theory, in order to make the necessary adjustments to enhance student learning, and does so on a regular basis.	
9.3	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raise serious concerns about, the candidate's awareness of relevant professional organizations and the importance of participating in these learning communities.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is aware of the relevant professional organizations, the standards they generated (including professional ethics standards), and the opportunities they can offer for continuing professional development.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is aware of the relevant professional organizations, the standards they generated (including professional ethics standards), and the opportunities they can offer for continuing professional development; the candidate has also begun to participate in these organizations (e.g., by joining one, subscribing to journals, participating in a conference, using resources on the web, etc.).	

10. Community Principle - Rubrics related to relevant NSTA standards for science teacher candidates

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following NSTA standards. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence of the behaviors and practices described in this standard.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrated the behaviors and practices described in this standard at least once.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrates the behaviors and practices described in this standard consistently and with a high level of proficiency.

<i>NSTA standards:</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>
10.d Candidates interact effectively with colleagues, parents, and students; mentor new colleagues; and foster positive relationships with the community.			

Additional comments:

10. Community Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

Prof.	<i>Insufficient (1)</i>	<i>Basic proficiency (3)</i>	<i>Outstanding (4)</i>	<i>Score</i>
10.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raises serious concerns about, the candidate's appreciation for the value of parental and community involvement.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of the candidate's appreciation for the value of parental and community involvement and a stated commitment to seek such involvement.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate values parental and community involvement and has already sought such involvement in his/her own practice.	
10.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raises serious concerns about, the candidate's ability to communicate effectively with parents/ caregivers and colleagues.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate was able to communicate effectively with parents/ caregivers and colleagues, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to communicate effectively with parents/ caregivers and colleagues, and does so on a regular basis.	