

## WARNER PORTFOLIO EVALUATION FORM

Candidate's name: \_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator's name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Semester: \_\_\_\_\_

### Portfolio Rubrics

#### *1. Content Principle – Rubrics to evaluate relevant ACEI standards:*

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following ACEI standards (<http://www.acei.org/ncateindex.htm>). For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table below:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence that the candidate has the necessary content knowledge to meet this standard.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate has at least the minimum content knowledge needed to meet this standard.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate has a solid background in this area and consistently demonstrates the behaviors and practices identified in this standard.

<b>ACEI standard:</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 <b>English language arts</b> – Candidates demonstrate a high level of competence in the use of English language arts and they know, understand and use concepts from reading, language and child development, to teach in reading, writing, speaking, viewing, listening, and thinking skills and to help students successfully apply their developing skills to many different situations, materials and ideas.			
2.2 <b>Science</b> - Candidates know, understand and use fundamental concepts in the subject matter of science – including physical, life and earth and space sciences – as well as concepts in science and technology, science in personal and social perspectives, the history and nature of science, and the inquiry processes scientists use in discovery of new knowledge to build a base for scientific and technological literacy.			
2.3 <b>Mathematics</b> - Candidates know, understand and use the major concepts, procedures, and reasoning processes of mathematics that define number systems and number sense, geometry, measurement, statistics and probability, and algebra in order to foster student understanding and use of patterns, quantities, and spatial relationships that can represent phenomena, solve problems, and manage data.			
2.4 <b>Social studies</b> - Candidates know, understand and use the major concepts and modes of inquiry from the social studies – the integrated study of history, geography, the social sciences, and other related areas – to promote elementary students’ abilities to make informed decisions as citizens of a culturally diverse democratic society and interdependent world.			
2.5 <b>The arts</b> - Candidates know, understand and use – as appropriate to their own understanding and skills – the content, functions, and achievements of dance, music, theater, and the several visual arts as primary media for communication, inquiry, and insight among elementary students.			

<b>ACEI standard (continued)</b>	1	3	4
2.6 <b>Health education</b> - Candidates know, understand and use the major concepts in the subject matter of health education to create opportunities for student development and practice of skills that contribute to good health.			
2.7 <b>Physical education</b> - Candidates know, understand and use – as appropriate to their own understanding and skills – human movement and physical activity as central elements to foster active, healthy life styles and enhanced quality of life for elementary students.			
2.8 <b>Connections across the curriculum</b> - Candidates know, understand and use the connections among concepts, procedures, and applications from content areas to motivate elementary students, build understanding, and encourage the application of knowledge, skills, and ideas to real world issues.			

*Additional comments:*

**1. Content Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:**

<b>Prof.</b>	<b>Insufficient (1)</b>	<b>Basic proficiency (3)</b>	<b>Outstanding (4)</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>1.1</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identifies some significant gaps in content preparation, as defined by New York State and/or relevant professional organizations.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate sufficient preparation in the subject matter to meet the minimum requirements set by New York State and relevant professional organizations.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a broad preparation in the subject matter that meets and exceeds the minimum requirements set by New York State and relevant professional organizations.	
<b>1.2</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide evidence of in-depth understanding of any of the key concepts, tools of inquiry or structures of the discipline identified by relevant professional organizations, as no examples are provided or the examples chosen are inappropriate and/or reveal serious misunderstandings	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identifies at least one example that demonstrates in-depth understanding of a key concept, tool of inquiry or structure of the discipline identified by relevant professional organizations; all the examples chosen are appropriate and do not reveal serious misunderstandings; the candidate articulates at least one doable strategy to deepen his/her understanding of the subject matter taught.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identifies a few examples that demonstrate a good understanding of key concepts, tools of inquiry and structures of the discipline identified by relevant professional organizations; all the examples chosen are appropriate and do not reveal serious misunderstandings; the candidate articulates multiple strategies to deepen his/her understanding of the subject matter taught.	
<b>1.3</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is unaware of relevant set(s) of standards, and/or reveal serious misunderstandings of specific standards and their instructional implications.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is aware of all relevant sets of standards to be considered in his/her teaching and understands their meaning and basic implications for instruction.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is aware of all relevant sets of standards to be considered in his/her teaching and understands their meaning; there is also evidence that these standards inform the candidates' planning and instruction.	
<b>1.4</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to create learning experiences that make the subject matter meaningful and relevant for all students, as no examples are provided or the examples chosen are inappropriate and/or reveal serious misunderstandings.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has created learning experiences that were meaningful and relevant to all students, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to create learning experiences that are meaningful and relevant to all students, and does so on a regular basis.	

***2. Learning Principle – Rubrics to evaluate relevant ACEI standards:***

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following ACEI standard. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence of the behaviors and practices described in this standard.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrated the behaviors and practices described in this standard at least once.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrates the behaviors and practices described in this standard consistently and with a high level of proficiency.

<b>ACEI standard:</b>	1	3	4
1. <b>Development, learning and motivation</b> – Candidates know, understand, and use the major concepts, principles, theories, and research related to development of children and young adolescents to construct learning opportunities that support individual students’ development, acquisition of knowledge, and motivation.			

*Additional comments:*

**2. Learning Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:**

<b><i>Prof.</i></b>	<b><i>Insufficient (1)</i></b>	<b><i>Basic proficiency (3)</i></b>	<b><i>Outstanding (4)</i></b>	<b><i>Score</i></b>
<b>2.1</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of how all children develop.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of how all children develop and the role played by context in development.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an in-depth understanding of how all children develop and the role played by context in development, and awareness of relevant research.	
<b>2.2</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of how all children construct knowledge through participation in culturally valued activities and/or what is appropriate for the ages and backgrounds of the children s/he teaches.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of how all children construct knowledge through participation in culturally valued activities and what is appropriate for the ages and backgrounds of the children s/he teaches.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate in-depth understanding of how all children construct knowledge through participation in culturally valued activities and what is appropriate for the ages and backgrounds of the children s/he teaches, as well as awareness of relevant research.	
<b>2.3</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to provide learning experiences that take into consideration the students' developmental level, prior experiences and contexts, as no examples are provided or the examples chosen are inappropriate and/or reveal serious misunderstandings.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has provided learning experiences taking into consideration the students' developmental level, prior experiences and contexts, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to provide learning experiences that take into consideration the students' developmental level, prior experiences and contexts, and does so on a regular basis.	

### 3. Equity Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

<i>Prof.</i>	<i>Insufficient (1)</i>	<i>Basic proficiency (3)</i>	<i>Outstanding (4)</i>	<i>Score</i>
3.1	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of equity and social justice principles.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of the principle that everyone has the right to have an opportunity to learn, and of what constitutes equitable and socially just behavior and treatment for themselves and others.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an in-depth understanding of equity and social justice principles, including everyone's right to have an opportunity to learn and what constitutes equitable and socially just behavior and treatment for themselves and others, as well as awareness of relevant research.	
3.2	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not demonstrate a commitment to high moral and ethical standards and/or a basic respect for student diversity.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic commitment to high moral and ethical standards and respect for student diversity.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a strong commitment to high moral and ethical standards, with an explicit emphasis on equity and social justice; the candidate respects student differences and perceives them as resources rather than obstacles for instruction.	
3.3	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate some major gaps and/or misconceptions in their knowledge of cultural, linguistic and learning differences and/or disabilities and their implications for the classroom.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate that the candidate is familiar with at least a few of the cultural, linguistic and learning differences and/or disabilities students may present, and their implications for the classroom.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate that the candidate is aware of several cultural, linguistic and learning differences and/or disabilities students may present, and their implications for the classroom, as well as awareness of relevant research.	
3.4	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to create learning experiences that are culturally relevant and address the strengths and needs of all students, as no examples are provided or the examples chosen are inappropriate and/or reveal serious misunderstandings.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has created learning experiences that were culturally relevant and addressed the strengths and needs of all students, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that candidate is able to create learning experiences that are culturally relevant and address the strengths and needs of all students, and does so on a regular basis.	

**4. Pedagogy Principle – Rubrics to evaluate relevant ACEI standards:**

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following ACEI standards. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence of the behaviors and practices described in this standard.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrated the behaviors and practices described in this standard at least once.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrates the behaviors and practices described in this standard consistently and with a high level of proficiency.

<b>ACEI standard:</b>	1	3	4
<b>3.3 Development of critical thinking, problem solving and performance skills</b> – Candidates understand and use a variety of teaching strategies that encourage elementary students’ development of critical thinking, problem solving, and performance skills.			
<b>3.4 Active engagement in learning</b> – Candidates use their knowledge and understanding of individual and group motivation, and behavior among students at the K-6 level to foster active engagement in learning, self-motivation, and positive social interaction and to create supportive interaction in the elementary classroom.			

*Additional comments:*

**4. Pedagogy Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:**

<b>Prof.</b>	<b>Insufficient (1)</b>	<b>Basic proficiency (3)</b>	<b>Outstanding (4)</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>4.1</b>	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is aware of all relevant set(s) of pedagogy-related standards and/or knows enough innovative instructional strategies.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is aware of relevant pedagogy-related standards, can identify a few instructional strategies consistent with those standards, and understands the potential uses, values, and limitations of these strategies for achieving specific learning goals.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is aware of relevant pedagogy-related standards, knows several instructional strategies consistent with those standards, understands the potential uses, values and limitations of each of these strategies to achieve specific learning goals, and is aware of relevant research.	
<b>4.2</b>	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use a variety of innovative instructional strategies, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence of a few occasions when the candidate has effectively used different innovative strategies to achieve learning goals consistent with relevant standards, and raise no major concern about the candidate’s capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to effectively use a variety of innovative strategies to achieve learning goals consistent with relevant standards, and does so on a regular basis.	
<b>4.3</b>	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is aware of the potential values and limitations of using technology in instruction.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation demonstrate that the candidate understands at least a few of the potential values and limitations of using technology in instruction.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation demonstrate that the candidate understands many of the potential values and limitations of using technology in instruction, recognizes equity issues connected with the uses of technology, and is aware of relevant research.	
<b>4.4</b>	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use technology to support student learning, as no example is provided or the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has used technology effectively to support student learning, raise no major concern about the candidate’s capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to use technology effectively to support student learning, and does so on a regular basis.	

**5. Learning Community Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:**

<b><i>Prof.</i></b>	<b><i>Insufficient (1)</i></b>	<b><i>Basic proficiency (3)</i></b>	<b><i>Outstanding (4)</i></b>	<b><i>Score</i></b>
<b>5.1</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of student motivation.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of what may encourage or hinder students' motivation and engagement in learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an in-depth understanding of what may encourage or hinder students' motivation and engagement in learning, and awareness of relevant research literature.	
<b>5.2</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation raise concerns about the candidate's capability of constructing a comfortable and safe classroom environment.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to construct a comfortable and safe classroom environment, at least most of the time and for most of the students in his/her class.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to construct a comfortable and safe classroom environment for all students in his/her class.	
<b>5.3</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation raise concerns about the candidate's capability of creating a classroom environment that fosters students' motivation and learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to create a classroom environment that fosters students' motivation and learning, at least most of the times and for most of the students in his/her class.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to create a classroom environment that fosters students' motivation and learning for all students and supports the creation of a community of learners.	

**6. Communication Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:**

<b><i>Prof.</i></b>	<b><i>Insufficient (1)</i></b>	<b><i>Basic proficiency (3)</i></b>	<b><i>Outstanding (4)</i></b>	<b><i>Score</i></b>
<b>6.1</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify some major gaps or misconceptions in the candidate's understanding of the role of language in teaching and learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate a basic understanding of the role of language in teaching and learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation demonstrate an in-depth understanding of the role of language in teaching and learning, and awareness of the relevant research.	
<b>6.2</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is aware of and proficient in a wide enough variety of modes and vehicles of communication.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is familiar with and proficient in at least a few modes and vehicles of communication to support learning and inquiry, and can recognize the potential uses, values and limitations of each to achieve specific learning goals.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation indicate that the candidate is familiar with and proficient in several modes and vehicles of communication to support learning and inquiry, can recognize the potential uses, values and limitations of each to achieve specific learning goals, and is aware of relevant research.	
<b>6.3</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use effectively a variety of modes of communication in the classroom, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate has used effectively a variety of modes of communication to make ideas accessible to all students and foster inquiry in at least one occasion, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is capable of using effectively a variety of modes of communication to make ideas accessible to all students and foster inquiry, and does so on a regular basis.	
<b>6.4</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to construct activities that incorporate a variety of texts, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate has constructed activities that incorporated a variety of texts and facilitated all students' critical analysis of such texts, and raise no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to construct activities that incorporate a variety of texts and facilitate all students' critical analysis of such texts, and does so on a regular basis.	

**7. Planning Principle – Rubrics to evaluate relevant ACEI standards:**

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following ACEI standards. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table:

- 1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence of the behaviors and practices described in this standard.
- 3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrated the behaviors and practices described in this standard at least once.
- 4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrates the behaviors and practices described in this standard consistently and with a high level of proficiency.

<b>ACEI standard:</b>	1	3	4
3.1 <b>Integrating and applying knowledge for instruction</b> – Candidates plan and implement instruction based on knowledge of students, learning theory, subject matter, curricular goals, and community.			

*Additional comments:*

**7. Planning Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:**

<b><i>Prof.</i></b>	<b><i>Insufficient (1)</i></b>	<b><i>Basic proficiency (3)</i></b>	<b><i>Outstanding (4)</i></b>	<b><i>Score</i></b>
<b>7.1</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation raise concerns about the candidate's capability of aligning instruction with learning goals consistent with professional and NYS standards.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate was able to explicitly align instruction with learning goals consistent with professional and NYS standards, and raises no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to align instruction with learning goals consistent with professional and NYS standards, and does so on a regular basis.	
<b>7.2</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation identify major shortcomings with respect to the candidate's capability of implementing lessons according to a well-defined and high quality plan.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate was able to implement lessons according to a well-defined and high quality plan, and raises no major concern about the candidate's capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to implement lessons according to a well-defined and high quality plan, is able to make modifications in this plan as appropriate to respond to unexpected students' responses, and does both on a regular basis.	

## 8. Assessment Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:

<i>Prof.</i>	<i>Insufficient (1)</i>	<i>Basic proficiency (3)</i>	<i>Outstanding (4)</i>	<i>Score</i>
<b>8.1</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate understands the multiple purposes of assessment and/or knows a sufficient number of assessment strategies and tools.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate understands the multiple purposes of assessment, is familiar with a few different assessment strategies and tools, and is aware of the potential uses, values and limitations of each to achieve specific assessment goals.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate understands the multiple purposes of assessment, is familiar with several assessment strategies and tools, is aware of the potential uses, values and limitations of each to achieve specific assessment goals, and is aware of relevant research literature on assessment.	
<b>8.2</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use a variety of assessment strategies and tools and/or to use assessment to monitor and support student learning, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate has effectively used a variety of assessment strategies and tools to monitor and support student learning in at least a few occasions, and raise no major concerns about the candidate's capability to do it in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to effectively use a variety of assessment strategies and tools, including some that are embedded in authentic learning activities and have real audiences and purposes, to monitor and support student learning and does so on a regular basis.	
<b>8.3</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to use assessment to inform instruction, as the examples provided are inappropriate, too limited and/or reveal serious misconceptions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate has been able to use assessment to inform instruction, at least in a few occasions, and raise no major concerns about the candidate's capability to do it in other occasions.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to use assessment to inform instruction, and does so on a regular basis.	
<b>8.4</b>	Candidate's narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence that the candidate is able to have a positive effect on his/her students' learning, as the examples provided are inappropriate or too limited.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide at least three well-documented and commented-on examples that the candidate was able to have a positive effect on their students' learning.	Candidate's narrative and related documentation provide several well-documented and commented-on examples that the candidate was able to have a very positive effect on their students' learning, as well as testimonials to that effect.	

**9. Professional Practice Principle – Rubrics to evaluate relevant ACEI standards:**

Based on the narrative and artifacts provided by the candidate for this principle, please first evaluate the extent to which the candidate has demonstrated the desired level of proficiency with respect to each of the following ACEI standards. For your evaluation, please use the following rubrics and report your scores in the table:

1. **Insufficient** – i.e., you did not find evidence of the behaviors and practices described in this standard.
3. **Basic proficiency**– i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrated the behaviors and practices described in this standard at least once.
4. **Outstanding performance** – i.e., you found evidence that the candidate demonstrates the behaviors and practices described in this standard consistently and with a high level of proficiency.

<b>ACEI standard:</b>	1	3	4
5.1 <b>Practices and behaviors of developing career teachers</b> – Candidates understand and apply practices and behaviors that are characteristic of developing career teachers.			
5.2 <b>Reflection and evaluation</b> – Candidates are aware of and reflect on their practice in light of research on teaching and resources available for professional learning; they continually evaluate the effects of their professional decisions and actions on students, parents, and other professionals in the learning community and actively seek out opportunities to grow professionally.			

*Additional comments:*

**9. Professional Practice Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:**

<b><i>Prof.</i></b>	<b><i>Insufficient (1)</i></b>	<b><i>Basic proficiency (3)</i></b>	<b><i>Outstanding (4)</i></b>	<b><i>Score</i></b>
<b>9.1</b>	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raise serious concerns about, the candidate’s appreciation of the need for life-long learning and/or continuous improvement of his/her practice.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation demonstrate an appreciation of the need for life-long learning and continuous improvement of his/her practice and a stated commitment to it.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation demonstrate an appreciation of the need for life-long learning and continuous improvement of his/her practice, and provide evidence that the candidate has already started to put his/her commitment to continuous learning and improvement into practice.	
<b>9.2</b>	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raise serious concerns about, the candidate’s ability to constructively use criticisms, reflect on his/her practice, and/or use research and theory, in order to make necessary adjustments to enhance student learning.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate was able constructively use criticism, reflect on his/her practice, and draw from research and theory, respectively, in order to make some adjustment to enhance student learning.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to constructively use criticisms, reflect on his/her practice, and draw from research and theory, in order to make the necessary adjustments to enhance student learning, and does so on a regular basis.	
<b>9.3</b>	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raise serious concerns about, the candidate’s awareness of relevant professional organizations and the importance of participating in these learning communities.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is aware of the relevant professional organizations, the standards they generated (including professional ethics standards), and the opportunities they can offer for continuing professional development.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is aware of the relevant professional organizations, the standards they generated (including professional ethics standards), and the opportunities they can offer for continuing professional development; the candidate has also begun to participate in these organizations (e.g., by joining one, subscribing to journals, participating in a conference, using resources on the web, etc.).	

**10. Community Principle – Common rubrics to evaluate Warner School proficiencies:**

<b><i>Prof.</i></b>	<b><i>Insufficient (1)</i></b>	<b><i>Basic proficiency (3)</i></b>	<b><i>Outstanding (4)</i></b>	<b><i>Score</i></b>
<b>10.1</b>	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raises serious concerns about, the candidate’s appreciation for the value of parental and community involvement.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence of the candidate’s appreciation for the value of parental and community involvement and a stated commitment to seek such involvement.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate values parental and community involvement and has already sought such involvement in his/her own practice.	
<b>10.2</b>	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation do not provide sufficient evidence for, or raises serious concerns about, the candidate’s ability to communicate effectively with parents/ caregivers and colleagues.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence of at least one occasion when the candidate was able to communicate effectively with parents/ caregivers and colleagues, and raise no major concern about the candidate’s capability of doing so in other occasions.	Candidate’s narrative and related documentation provide evidence that the candidate is able to communicate effectively with parents/ caregivers and colleagues, and does so on a regular basis.	